## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

LARONDA PHOX,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	No. 4:17-CV-00098-DGK
	)	
VIRTUOSO SOURCING GROUP, LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO COMPLY**

On June 29, 2017, the Court ordered Plaintiff to "show cause why she should not be enjoined from filing future lawsuits in this District by filing a written response to this order" and "include in her response a listing of every case she has filed in any court in the past twenty years, including pending matters, the nature of the action, the disposition of the action, and whether she paid any filing fees in each of those cases" (Doc. 16).

In response, Plaintiff asks the Court not to issue a pre-filing injunction. Plaintiff stated she was unable to comply with the Court's order because she was not given sufficient time. In addition, Plaintiff believes the Court's previous order was "done with the intention[] to harass, annoy, or disturb" her. Pl.'s Resp. at 3 (Doc. 17).

The Court "is vested with discretion to impose sanctions upon a party under its inherent disciplinary power." *Bass v. General Motors Corp.*, 150 F.3d 842, 851 (8th Cir. 1998). "[J]udicial resources are limited in the short run and need to be protected from wasteful consumption. Frivolous, bad faith claims consume a significant amount of judicial resources, diverting the time and energy of the judiciary away from processing good faith claims." *In re Tyler*, 839 F.2d 1290, 1292 (8th Cir. 1988). And "[d]efendants have a right to be free from

harassing, abusive, and meritless litigation." Id. at 1293. "The Court may, in its discretion,

place reasonable restrictions on any litigant who files non-meritorious actions for obviously

malicious purposes and who generally abuses judicial process. These restrictions may be

directed to provide limitations or conditions on the filing of future suits." *Id.* 

The Court is concerned with the number of lawsuits Plaintiff has filed in this district.

Based on the Court's research, she has filed thirteen cases in the Western District of Missouri

since 2001, seven in the last five years alone. All of these cases resulted in dismissal or

summary judgment for the defendant. The Court requested information related to Plaintiff's past

lawsuits in order to determine whether the Court should impose a restriction on Plaintiff's ability

to file additional lawsuits.

The Court grants Plaintiff additional time to comply with the Court's June 29th Order. If

Plaintiff fails to comply, the Court will consider requiring Plaintiff to pay a filing fee in this

lawsuit and all future lawsuits filed in this Court.

Therefore, it is ORDERED that Plaintiff comply with the Court's June 29th Order on or

before August 25, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 8, 2017

/s/ Greg Kays

GREG KAYS, CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2